UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,508	09/16/2003	Toru Takayama	12732-166001	1342
26171 FISH & RICHA	7590 04/03/200 ARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022		LOUIE, WAI SING		
MIINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/662,508	TAKAYAMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Wai-Sing Louie	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 25 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	031160
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [	☐ will not be entered, or b\ ☐ wil	Il ha antarad and an a	valonation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		The efficied and all ex	KPIATIALIOTI OI
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,13-15,17,18,21-28,31,32,36 and 3</u>	<u>37</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Wai-Sing Louie/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 2814	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues Yamazaki et al. do not disclose a mixed film containing fluoroplastic and metallic oxide. However, Song discloses a fluoro layer protects the EL device and Kitamura discloses mixing metallic oxide into fluoroplastic layer. Kitamura provide a motivation that a metallic oxide and fluorplastic mixed layer is an excellent water repellence with excellent transparency. Therefore, the combination of Yamazaki, Song and Kitamura is proper.